REMARKS

1. New Legal Representative

The undersigned has been appointed to represent Applicant before the United States

Patent and Trademark Office. A new Power of Attorney will be filed in due course. Please direct
all future correspondence to the undersigned attorney.

2. In the Claims

Claims 1-12 were previously canceled. Claims 13-20 are pending in the present application, and are canceled in the present amendment. New claims 21-25 have been introduced. Therefore, upon entry of the present amendment, claims 21-25 will be subject to examination.

In the Office Action dated 12/29/2006, the Examiner has rejected claims 13-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) because allegedly obvious in view of U.S.P.N. 5,601,959 to Brault ("Brault").

Because claims 13-20 are now being canceled, the Examiner's rejection is moot as to those claims. Because new claims 21-27 are now being introduced, it will be shown hereafter that new claims 21-27 are not obvious in view of Brault.

Applicant submits that the patentable differences between Brault and Applicant's invention have been misapprehended in the previous correspondence. In order to facilitate the present discussion, some highlights of the two inventions will be first compared in Table I below. All references to Applicant's disclosure are made by indicating the paragraph numbers in published application US2005/0000633 A1.

//

//

//

//

Table I

Highlights of Brault's and Applicant's Inventions

BRAULT	APPLICANT
The releasable substrate <u>must</u> have <u>two layers</u> ,	The image is printed on a roll of a single
of which a first one is <u>transparent and dielectric</u>	transfer sheet paper. (Paragraph [0018].
and is <u>positioned over</u> a second, <u>conductive</u>	
layer. (Col 7, Il. 7-10). The surface of the	
dielectric layer must be rough and slightly	
<u>abrasive</u> . (Col 7, 11. 49-50).	
A computer distributes an <u>electric charge</u> over	Any printing system based on an electrostatic
the second, dielectric layer, forming a latent	printer may be used. (Paragraph [0019]).
image. Toner is fixed over the latent image at a	
successive station. (Col. 4, ll. 51-62).	
The image is created <u>on top of</u> the second,	A sealant is <u>coated over the image</u> prior to
dielectric layer prior to transfer. (Col. 5, ll. 8-	transfer, in order to protect it from distortion
12; Fig. 2). No other layers are superposed	and irregularity (Paragraph [0020]) and from
over the image.	smudging (Paragraph [0024]).
The image is transferred to a permanent	The transfer sheet is positioned against a wall
receptor. (Col. 5, ll. 12-13). The conductive	and peeled off in its entirety. (Paragraphs
carrier sheet is then separated from the	[0026] – [0027]).
dielectric layer. (Col. 6, ll. 64-67; Fig. 11).	
The result of this process is an image attached	The result is an image exposed to the external
to the permanent receptor, <u>sandwiched</u> between	environment that can be later varnished or be
the permanent receptor and the dielectric	painted over if desired. (Paragraphs [0030] and
transparent layer. (Col. 7, ll. 1-6; Fig. 4).	[0037]).

In particular, the following Table II summarizes a few examples where Brault <u>teaches</u> <u>away</u> from Applicant's invention.

Table II

Examples of Where Brault Teaches Away from Applicant's Invention

BRAULT	APPLICANT
Printing on a paper releasable substrate is	Paper is a suitable releasable substrate.
completely unsuitable. (Col. 1, ll. 66-67).	(Paragraph [0019]).
The releasable substrate <u>must</u> include a	Only paper (i.e. a single dielectric layer) may
dielectric and a conductive layer. (Col. 7, ll. 7-	be employed as a releasable substrate.
10).	(Paragraph [0019]).
The print surface must have a rough and	Applicant requires no restrictions on print
slightly abrasive surface. (Col. 7, ll. 49-50).	surface (i.e., any surface type may be
	employed).
A low gloss image on the receiving surface is	Any gloss level is acceptable on the receiving
undesirable. (Col. 1, 1. 36).	surface. (Paragraphs [0028], [0030]).
The image transferred on the receiving surface	The image is exposed to the external
is inherently sandwiched between the receiving	environment. A varnish may be applied on the
surface and the dielectric layer, due to the	image after the transfer, and of any gloss level.
nature of the transfer process. (Col. 7, ll. 1-6;	(Paragraph [0030].
Fig. 4).	

It is particularly noteworthy that Brault specifically teaches that the transferred image must be sandwiches between the permanent receptor and the dielectric layer, while Applicant teaches a method for providing an image on a permanent receptor exposed to the outside environment that can be left as such or reworked.

Obviousness requires that the cited prior reference teach or suggest every limitation of the claimed invention. On the contrary, Brault's disclosure does not teach nor suggest Applicant's invention. Instead, Brault expressly uses language in several instances that expressly teaches away from Applicant's invention (see, for instance, the use of the term "must" in the comparisons shown above).

Applicant's new claims 21-27 summarize the above described process steps, using a language that patentably distinguishes from Brault, so that the language of Applicant's claims cannot be read to encompass Brault's disclosure.

For the above described reasons, Applicant's new claims are not obvious in view of Brault, and a notice of allowance for the present application is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments and remarks submitted herein, Applicant respectfully requests that a timely a Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Should the Examiner have any comments or wish to discuss this matter, the undersigned attorney can be contacted by telephone, fax, or e-mail at the below indicated address.

Date: June 29, 2006 Respectfully submitted,

/Franco A. Serafini/

Franco A. Serafini, Registration No. 52,207

Attorney for Applicant Tel. (858) 456-2898 Fax: (858) 225-3920

E-Mail: franco@patentconcepts.com

SERAFINI ASSOCIATES 7660 Fay Avenue, Suite H378 La Jolla, CA 92037